ramric

Formal Synthesis of (+)-Lasubine II and (−)-Subcosine II via Organocatalytic Michael Addition of a Ketone to an α -Nitrostyrene

Moorthy N. V. G., Rajendar Dyapa, and Sunil V. Pansare*

Department of Chemistry, Memorial University, St. John's, Newfoundla[nd](#page-3-0), Canada A1B 3X7

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The first examples of an organocatalytic Michael addition of a ketone to in situ generated α -nitrostyrenes are reported. A suitably functionalized γ-nitroketone obtained from the organocatalyzed Michael addition was converted into (+)-2 epi-lasubine II, the immediate synthetic precursor of $(+)$ -lasubine II and $(-)$ -subcosine II (enantiomers of the natural quinolizidine alkaloids). Two of the three stereocenters in (+)-2-epi-lasubine II are set by the Michael reaction.

The 4-arylquinolizidine motif is found in several Lythraceae
alkaloids of which (−)-lasubine I and (−)-lasubine II are
prominent examples (Figure 1)⁻¹ From a structural perspective prominent examples (Figure 1).¹ From a structural perspective,

Figure 1. Selected alkaloids having the 4-arylquinolizidine motif.

the lasubine framework is incorporated in other members of the lythraceous alkaloids such as subcosines I and $II¹$ and the macrocyclic lactones $(+)$ -lythrine² and $(+)$ -vertine.² Hence, a synthetic strategy for the lasubines also provides [a](#page-3-0) potential route to the macrocyclic memb[er](#page-3-0)s of the Lythra[ce](#page-3-0)ae family. Several enantioselective syntheses of lasubine II have been investigated, 3 and only three enantioselective syntheses of subcosine II are documented.^{3h,n,6e} Herein, we describe formal syntheses of [\(](#page-3-0)+)-lasubine II and (-)-subcosine II. Our strategy employs the previously unr[eporte](#page-3-0)d, enantioselective organocatalytic Michael addition of a ketone to an in situ generated α nitrostyrene as the key step.

In developing a synthetic route to the quinolizidine framework in lasubine II (Figure 2), we noted that the relative

Figure 2. Strategy for the synthesis of (+)-lasubine II and (−)-subcosine II.

stereochemistry of the secondary alcohol and of the benzylic stereocenter could be established regardless of the stereochemistry in a bicyclic precursor, as either of these stereocenters can be inverted at a later stage if necessary.⁴ The quinolizidine could be obtained by cyclization of a trisubstituted piperidine A. Construction of A was p[la](#page-3-0)nned from a diastereomerically pure acyclic precursor B by homologation of the alcohol, deprotection of the acetal, and piperidine ring formation by intramolecular reductive amination. We anticipated that 1,3-induction during the formation of

Received: September 15, 2015 Published: October 22, 2015

the piperidine ring $⁵$ would assist in setting the new stereocenter</sup> in the product (diequatorial disposition of Ar and side chain in A). Compound B [d](#page-3-0)erives from the nitrolactone C by reductive ring opening of the lactone. The nitrolactone ultimately leads to the γ-aryl-γ-nitroketone D as the key starting material. From a methodology development perspective, γ-aryl-γ-nitroketones like D are appealing starting materials for 2,6-disubstituted 3 hydroxypiperidines such as B, many of which have interesting biological profiles and are also valuable synthetic intermediates.⁶ We therefore chose to address the stereoselective synthesis of the γ -nitroketone **D** by employing the organocata[ly](#page-3-0)tic Michael addition of a monoprotected cyclohexanedione to an α -nitrostyrene as the pivotal step.

At the outset, since organocatalytic Michael additions of ketones to α -nitrostyrenes (1-aryl-1-nitroethylenes) are not reported, θ the stereochemistry of D that could be obtained from such a reaction was not foreseeable. However, the approac[h](#page-3-0) was attractive since it had the potential to establish two of the three stereocenters in the product (Figure 2). Accordingly, we initiated studies on the Michael addition of ketone 1 to a suitable α -nitrostyrene. In anticipation [of the hig](#page-0-0)h reactivity of the α -nitrostyrene, and the known tendency of such nitroalkenes to either polymerize $8a$ or rearrange, $8b'$ we chose to generate the required α -nitrostyrene in situ⁹ from the nitroacetate $2a^{10}$ Initially, selected 11 p[rim](#page-3-0)ary and sec[ond](#page-3-0)ary amines were screened for their ability to induce the [el](#page-3-0)imination of acetate fro[m](#page-3-0) $2a$ (Ar = 3,4-di[met](#page-3-0)hoxyphenyl) as well as catalyze the Michael addition of ketone 1 to provide the nitroketone 4a. From this study, the diamine 3^{12} (20 mol %) in the presence of (1S)-camphorsulfonic acid as the cocatalyst (20 mol %) in DMF emerged as the catalytic syste[m](#page-3-0) of choice. The nitroacetates $2b^{10}$ (Ar = 4-bromophenyl) and $2c^{10}$ (Ar = phenyl) were also employed for the Michael addition, and these provided the [co](#page-3-0)rresponding nitroketones 4b [a](#page-3-0)nd 4c, respectively. These results are summarized in Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Diamine-Catalyzed Michael Addition of 1 to in Situ Generated α -Nitrostyrenes

The syntheses of nitroketones 4 constitute the first examples of the stereoselective Michael addition of a ketone to in situ generated α -nitrostyrenes. The diastereoselectivity of the process is low (∼1.5:1 dr), but the diastereomers can be easily separated to provide the major diastereomer in synthetically useful yield (51−52%) and enantiomeric excess (82−92% ee). 13 Employing the optimized reaction conditions, gram quantities of 4a could be synthesized routinely. The absolute con[fi](#page-3-0)guration of $4a$ (R,R) was established by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 3).¹⁴ The absolute configurations of $4b$ and 4c are based on the similarity in chemical shift and multiplicity of the benzyli[c p](#page-3-0)roton as compared to 4a.

Figure 3. Formation of the major diastereomer 4 and X-ray crystal structure of nitroketone 4a.

Although the mechanistic details for the formation of 4a−c are not established, it is plausible that the Michael addition of 1 with the α-nitrostyrenes derived from 2a-c proceeds via a hydrogen-bonded¹⁵ intermediate E (Figure 3) in which the nitroalkene is delivered to the β face of the enamine derived from 1 and the [c](#page-3-0)atalyst 3. This step establishes the ring stereocenter in the major diastereomers 4a−c, and it could generate the 1,2-oxazine N-oxide intermediate F. ¹⁶ Subsequent opening of the oxazine produces the nitronate G, which is protonated stereoselectively to generate the b[enz](#page-3-0)ylic stereocenter in 4. The origin of stereoselectivity in the protonation step is not known at present. The low diastereoselectivity of the Michael addition may be due to the high reactivity of the α nitrostyrene, which enables a competing, non-hydrogenbonded addition to the α face of the enamine.

With 4a in hand, a synthesis of the lasubine framework was initiated. Treatment of 4a with m-CPBA provided the Baeyer− Villiger oxidation product 5, which was reduced to the nitrodiol 6. In order to prevent potentially competing reactions involving the primary alcohol in 6 (hemiacetal formation and its reduction to a tetrahydrofuran¹⁷ in subsequent transformations), it was converted to the primary acetate prior to hydrolysis of the acetal. This [pr](#page-3-0)ovided the δ -nitroketone 7 (Scheme 2) which was examined as a precursor to the functionalized piperidine ring in lasubine.

[Initial stu](#page-2-0)dies with 7 were focused on converting the nitroketone in 7 to the cyclic nitrone 9 (Scheme 3), which could potentially be reduced stereoselectively to establish the third stereocenter in the piperidine ring. Ho[wever, onl](#page-2-0)y a trace of nitrone 9 could be detected in the complex mixture of products obtained from the attempted reduction of 7 (Zn/aq $NH₄Cl$). It is plausible that 9 is unstable and it undergoes unwanted side reactions such as dehydration and/or isomer-

Scheme 2. Conversion of 4a into the γ-Nitroketones 7 and 8

ization¹⁸ to the conjugated nitrone. In contrast, reduction of the nitroketone 8 (obtained by deprotection of 6, Scheme 2) with Zn/aq [N](#page-3-0)H4Cl provided an inseparable mixture of the spiropiperidine 10a and its N-hydroxy analogue 10b. This mixture was first reduced with $NaBH₄$ to provide a mixture of the trisubstituted piperidine 11 and the corresponding Nhydroxypiperidine. Subsequent reduction of this mixture with indium metal provided 11 as a single diastereomer. The newly formed stereocenter in 11 was assigned the R configuration on the assumption that the 2,6-cis (diequatorial) isomer would be favored 5 in the reduction of the imine or nitrone that is transiently formed from 10.

The [c](#page-3-0)onversion of 11 into the quinolizidine framework required a homologation of the hydroxypropyl side chain at C6. This was achieved by transitory protection of the nitrogen by formylation (treatment with excess formic acetic anhydride, followed basic hydrolysis of the concurrently formed formate esters) to provide 12 (Scheme 4) followed by activation of the primary alcohol as the mesylate and subsequent cyanation to provide 13. Simultaneous methanolysis of the nitrile as well as the formamide in 13 provided the amino ester 14.

Reduction of the ester in 14 and cyclization of the resulting amino diol could potentially provide 2-epi-lasubine II. However, the observation^{3a} that this amino diol, prepared by an unrelated approach, has poor solubility in conventional solvents suggested the [n](#page-3-0)eed for an alternative strategy. Hence, the

Scheme 4. Homologation of 11 to 14

secondary alcohol in 14 was first protected as a TIPS ether. Subsequent reduction of the ester provided 15 (Scheme 5),

Scheme 5. Formal Synthesis of $(+)$ -Lasubine II and (−)-Subcosine II

which was cyclized to 16 employing a Mitsunobu-type reaction $(Ph_3P, di-2-methoxyethyl azodicarboxylate (DMEAD)¹⁹).$ Deprotection of 16 provided (+)-2-epi-lasubine II 17. The enantiomer of 17 has previously been converted i[nt](#page-3-0)o (−)-lasubine II by Mitsunobu inversion of the secondary alcohol, $3k$ and a Mitsunobu reaction of ent-17 with 3,4dimethoxycinnamic acid provides $(+)$ -subcosine II.^{6e} Thus, the present [s](#page-3-0)ynthesis of 17 constitutes a formal synthesis of (+)-lasubine II and (−)-subcosine II.

In conclusion, the first examples of organocatalytic enantioselective Michael additions of a ketone to in situ generated α -nitrostyrenes have been developed. The methodology has been applied to a formal synthesis of $(+)$ -lasubine II and $(-)$ -subcosine II. We are currently investigating the scope of the Michael addition reaction and the application of this methodology in the stereoselective synthesis of various trisubstituted piperidines.

Organic Letters
■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02677.

> Experimental methods and spectroscopic data for all compounds (PDF)

X-ray data for 4a (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: spansare@mun.ca.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

These investigations were supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the Canada Foundation for Innovation. We thank Dr. Hilary A. Jenkins, McMaster University, for X-ray crystallography of the nitroketone 4a.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Isolation and structure determination: Fuji, K.; Yamada, T.; Fujita, E.; Murota, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1978, 26, 2515.

(2) (a) Rumalla, C. S.; Jadhav, A. N.; Smillie, T.; Fronczek, F. R.; Khan, I. A. Phytochemistry 2008, 69, 1756. (b) Ferris, J. P.; Boyce, C. B.; Briner, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2942.

(3) (a) Saha, N.; Biswas, T.; Chattopadhyay, S. K. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5128. (b) Yu, R. T.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12370. (c) Cheng, G.; Wang, X.; Su, D.; Liu, H.; Liu, F.; Hu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 1911. (d) Chandrasekhar, S.; Murali, R. V. N. S.; Reddy, Ch. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5686. (e) Verkade, J. M. M.; van der Pijl, F.; Willems, M. M. J. H. P.; Quaedflieg, P. J. L. M.; van Delft, F. L.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3207. (f) Weymann, M.; Kunz, H. Zeit. Naturforschung B. Chem. Sci. 2008, 63, 425. (g) Lim, J.; Kim, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 88. (h) Back, T. G.; Hamilton, M. D.; Lim, V. J. J.; Parvez, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 967. (i) Zaja, M.; Blechert, S. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 9629. (j) Gracias, V.; Zeng, Y.; Desai, P.; Aube, J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4999. (k) Ma, D.; Zhu, W. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3927. (l) Davis, F. A.; Chao, B. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2623. (m) Ukaji, Y.; Imai, M.; Yamada, T.; Inomata, K. Heterocycles 2000, 52, 563. (n) Chalard, P.; Remuson, R.; Gelas-Mialhe, Y.; Gramain, J.-C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 4361. Formal enantioselective syntheses of lasubine II: (o) Shi, S.-L.; Wei, X.-F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17019. (p) Beng, T. K.; Gawley, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12216. (q) Coldham, I.; Leonori, D. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4069.

(4) Epimerization of the C4 stereocenter: Pilli, R. A.; Dias, L. C.; Maldaner, A. O. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 717. For inversion of the C2 stereocenter, see ref 3k.

(5) For the stereoselective reduction of 2,6-disubstituted, 2,3,4,5 tetrahydropyridines to cis 2,6-disubstituted piperidines, see: Ryckman, D. M.; Stevens, R. V. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4274.

(6) Selected recent reports: (a) Martin, T. J.; Rovis, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5368. (b) Shi, S.-L.; Wei, X.-F.; Shimizu, Y.; Kanai, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17019. (c) Daly, M.; Cant, A. A.; Fowler, L. S.; Simpson, G. L.; Senn, H. M.; Sutherland, A. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 10001. (d) Marca, E.; Delso, I.; Tejero, T.; Merino, P. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 6674. (e) Cui, L.; Li, C.; Zhang, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9178. (f) Leflemme, N.; Freret, T.; Boulouard, M.; Dallemagne, P.; Rault, S. J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem. 2005, 20, 551.

(7) Only three reports of enantioselective organocatalytic Michael additions to α -alkyl nitroalkenes are available (a) Han, Y.; Zheng, B.; Peng, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 1136. (b) Kimmel, K. L.; Weaver, J. D.; Lee, M.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9058. (c) Zheng, B.; Wang, H.; Han, Y.; Liu, C.; Peng, Y. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4561. For stoichiometric reactions of preformed α nitrostyrene with achiral enamines, see: (d) Bradamante, P.; Pitacco, G.; Risaliti, A.; Valentin, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2683. (e) Benedetti, F.; Drioli, S.; Nitti, P.; Pitacco, G.; Valentin, E. ARKIVOC 2001, v, 140.

(8) (a) Kunetsky, R. A.; Dilman, A. D.; Struchkova, M. I.; Tartakovsky, V. A.; Ioff, S. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 5203. (b) Lešetický, L.; Fidler, V.; Procházka, M. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1973, 38, 459.

(9) For application in the conjugate additions of thiols, see: Baricordi, N.; Benetti, S.; Bertolasi, V.; De Risi, C.; Pollini, G. P.; Zamberlan, F.; Zanirato, V. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 208.

(10) Nitroacetate 2a was prepared by acetylation of the nitro alcohol, which was obtained by reaction of the corresponding epoxide with NaNO2 employing a modification of the reported method, see: Borah, J. C.; Gogoi, S.; Boruwa, J.; Barua, N. C. Synth. Commun. 2005, 35, 873. Nitroacetates 2b and 2c were prepared by acetylation of the corresponding nitro alcohols. These were obtained by hydroxymethylation of the respective aryl nitromethanes. See the Supporting Information for details

(11) (S)-Proline, (1R,2R)-1,2-diphenylethylenediamine, and (S)-3 methyl-N-(pyrrolidin-2-ylmethyl)butan-1-amine also provided 4a but in lower yield and with lower ee as compared to 3. (1R,2S)-Ephedrine was not effective as a catalyst. See the Supporting Information for a summary of the optimization studies.

(12) Pansare, S. V.; Pandya, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9624. (13) The enantiomeric excess of the minor diastereomers is typically low (50−55% ee). Treatment of pure 4a (92% ee) with catalyst 3 (20 mol %) in the presence of ketone 1 (5 equiv) did not result in any loss

of enantiomeric excess of 4a under the conditions employed for the Michael addition. The minor diastereomer could not be detected in this reaction mixture. These observations suggest that the Michael adduct 4a does not revert back to 1 and the nitroalkene and also that the minor diastereomer is not obtained by epimerization of the major diastereomer under the reaction conditions.

(14) The crystallographic data has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, reference no. CCDC 1423549.

(15) For a theoretical study of the role of hydrogen bonding in the Michael addition of enamines to nitroalkenes, see: Arnó, M.; Zaragozá, R. J.; Domingo, L. R. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 157.

(16) Similar intermediates have previously been proposed in stoichiometric reactions of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone-derived enamines with α -nitrostyrene. Two of the 1,2-oxazine N-oxide intermediates were isolated and characterized. See ref 7d.

(17) Gellert, B. A.; Kahlke, N.; Feurer, M.; Roth, S. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 12203.

(18) Ali, S. A.; Hashmi, S. M. A.; Siddiqui, M. N.; Wazeer, M. I. M. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 14917.

(19) DMEAD is reduced to a water-soluble hydrazine dicarboxylate, thereby simplifying the purification of 16. (a) Sugimura, T.; Hagiya, K. Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 566. (b) Hagiya, K.; Muramoto, N.; Misaki, T.; Sugimura, T. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 6109. DMEAD is commercially available.